THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.C.BHANU

-

WRIT PETITION NO.19466 OF 2005

Between:

-

Pinnamaneni Venkata Ramakrishna,

…….Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Divisional Engineer, A.P.Eastern Power Distribution, Co.LTD., Nidavavole, West Godavari District and another.

……..Respondents.

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

O R D E R:

-

This writ petition is filed in the nature of Mandamus declaring the action of respondents in not taking any action on the application of the petitioner, dated 05.08.2005 for transferring the service connection No.1344 of agricultural land situated in R.S.No.2 of Yerangudem Village, Devarapalli Mandal, West Godavari District as arbitrary, illegal and also for a consequential direction to the respondents to transfer the said service connection in the name of the petitioner.

It is the case of the petitioner that prior to the partition of agricultural lands bearing different survey numbers, the petitioner and his two brothers lived with their father at Yernagudem Village, West Godavari District. After partition, the petitioner got the agricultural land bearing R.S.No.2 in the said Village along with service connection bearing Service No.1344. Prior to partition, one Raja Rao, who is one of the brother of the petitioner used to maintain the affairs of the joint family properties. Even after partition, the respondent authorities used to issue bills for all the service connections including the service connection of the petitioner in the name of his brother.

Therefore, the petitioner made a representation dated 05.08.2005 before the first respondent-Divisional Engineer, A.P. Eastern Power Distribution Corporation Limited, Nidadavole, West Godavari District, to transfer the said service connection in the name of the petitioner, but no action was taken by him till today. Hence, this writ petition is filed.

The learned counsel for the respondents brought to the notice of this Court that basing on the Rules & Regulations of the Corporation, the first respondent has taken a decision to transfer the service connection in the name of the petitioner.

In view of the above, without going into the merits of the case, I think that it would be just and proper to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the respondent authorities to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 05.08.2005 and pass appropriate order for transferring the service connection in the name of the petitioner within a period of seven days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, provided that the petitioner produces necessary documents before the concerned authorities.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

K.C.BHANU J.,

Dt.02.09.2005

c.c.in three days.

tjs